

Planning and Development Department
Court Action Status Report
1 August 2019
Total Number of Appeals - 10

Note: Data is current as at close of business on the previous working day.

Planning & Environment Court - 10 Appeal/s

Appeal No: 4050 of 2017	Appeal Date: 24/10/2017	Case Name: Tocchini V Ipswich City Council
Solicitor: N/A at this time		Appeal Type: Applicant Appeal
P&D Register No: 136	Application No: 8948/2016/CA	Applicant: Mr Samuel Mark Tocchini and Mrs Danielle Clare Tocchini
Division: 10		Property: 201 Sids Dip Road, Lower Mount Walker
Appeal Summary: This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to part refuse an application. The refusal related to a proposed Intensive Animal Husbandry - Poultry Farm and Environmentally Relevant Activity 4(2) - Poultry Farm. The appeal also relates to the conditions of the approved Reconfiguring a Lot - one (1) Lot into two (2) Lots.		
Status: Without prejudice discussions ongoing. Listed for final orders 5 August 2019.		
Appeal No: 473 of 2018	Appeal Date: 9/2/2018	Case Name: HPC Urban Design & Planning Pty Ltd and Bio-Recycle Australia Pty Ltd v/s Ipswich City Council
Solicitor: N/A at this time		Appeal Type: Applicant Appeal
P&D Register No: 139	Application No: 4475/2017/MCU	Applicant: HPC Urban Design & Planning Pty Ltd
Division: 3		Property: 30 Memorial Drive, Swanbank
Appeal Summary: This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to refuse an application. The MCU application for Special Industry (Extension to an existing Landfill for Non-Putrescible Waste) was refused on the basis of amenity impacts on to adjoining residential areas specifically Ripley Valley.		
Status: Matter heard in court (25 March - 4 April 2019). Awaiting Judgement.		
Appeal No: 945 of 2018	Appeal Date: 14/3/2018	Case Name: Black Ink Architecture Pty Ltd v Ipswich City Council
Solicitor: N/A		Appeal Type: Applicant Appeal
P&D Register No: 140	Application No: 3859/2017/MCU	Applicant: Black Ink Architecture Pty Ltd
Division: 4		Property: 39 Barclay Street, Bundamba 41 Barclay Street, Bundamba 43 Barclay Street, Bundamba
Appeal Summary: This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to refuse an application. The refusal related to a material change of use - child care centre which was recommended for refusal based on flooding, traffic, and amenity.		
Status: Experts engaged on 8 August 2019. Experts are meeting to discuss if issues can be resolved. JER's to complete report by 6 September 2019. Next court review 11 December 2019		

Planning & Environment Court - 10 Appeal/s

Appeal No: 1727 of 2018	Appeal Date: 11/5/2018	Case Name: C.B. Developments Australia Pty Ltd v ICC
Solicitor: N/A		Appeal Type: Applicant Appeal
P&D Register No: 141	Application No: 4432/2017/RAL	Applicant: CB Developments Pty Ltd
Division: 2		Property: Lot 902 Eugene Street, Bellbird Park 12-26 Eugene Street, Bellbird Park
Appeal Summary: This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to refuse an application to reconfigure land into 333 lots plus parkland.		
Status: Order given that nominated experts are to prepare joint reports by 27 July 2019. A further review is scheduled for 9 August 2019.		
<hr/>		
Appeal No: 6410 of 2018	Appeal Date: 20/9/2018	Case Name: Mirvac Queensland Pty Ltd v Ipswich City Council and Home Investment Consortium Company Pty Ltd
Solicitor: N/A		Appeal Type: Originating Application
P&D Register No: 144	Application No: 911/2018/ADP	Applicant: Home Investment Consortium Company Pty Ltd
Division: 1		Property: 95 Southern Cross Circuit, Springfield Central
Appeal Summary: This is an originating application seeking a declaration that Council's approval of 11 April 2018 to approve an Area Development Plan is invalid and of no legal effect, or alternatively is to be set aside owing to the approval not being a minor amendment for the purposes of the Springfield Structure Plan.		
Council granted an Area Development Plan approval to permit the establishment of a range of Supporting Uses in conjunction with the approved Retail Warehouse. The Supporting Uses were for the display and sale by retail of the goods as identified in the Master Area Development Plan – Toys, Fabrics, haberdashery and home décor, Craft and hobby supplies, Housewares, and Pet products.		
Status: Matter is set down for a 2 day trial hearing on 29 August 2019 & 30 August 2019.		
<hr/>		
Appeal No: 4429/2018	Appeal Date: 11/12/2018	Case Name: Springfield Investments (Qld) Pty Ltd v Ipswich City Council
Solicitor: N/A at this time		Appeal Type: Applicant Appeal
P&D Register No: 146	Application No: 7385/2018/OD	Applicant: Springfield Investments (Qld) Pty Ltd
Division: 1		Property: 37-43 Springfield Parkway, Springfield
Appeal Summary: This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to refuse an application. The refusal related to carrying out operational works for the erection of a pylon sign advertising Hungry Jack's. The grounds for refusal were primarily based around impacts to the amenity of the surrounding area and that the advertising does not relate to the premises (approved Hungry Jack's site is located at 15-17 Commercial Drive, Springfield).		
Status: Matter to be finalised on 2 August 2019 by Consent Order for the approval of a revised Pylon Sign.		
<hr/>		
Appeal No: 4457 of 2018	Appeal Date: 12/12/2018	Case Name: Weyba3 Pty Ltd v Ipswich City Council
Solicitor: N/A at this time		Appeal Type: Applicant Appeal
P&D Register No: 147	Application No: 7117/2017/CA	Applicant: WEBYA3
Division: 2		Property: 45 Ascot Street, Goodna 16 Redbank Plains Road, Goodna 45A Ascot Street, Goodna
Appeal Summary: This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to refuse an application. The refusal related to reconfiguring the subject land into 78 residential lots and a material change of use for 78 Single Residential dwellings that are non-compliant with the planning scheme provisions.		
Status: Order given 20 June 2019 that experts are to prepare joint reports with a further review by the court on 15 August 2019.		

Planning & Environment Court - 10 Appeal/s

Appeal No: 261 of 2019	Appeal Date: 29/1/2019	Case Name: Golf Links Development Pty Ltd
Solicitor: N/A at this time		Appeal Type: Applicant Appeal
P&D Register No: 149	Application No: 6770/2018/CA	Applicant: Golf Links Land Development Pty Ltd
Division: 2		Property: 200-204 Jones Road, Bellbird Park 210-214 Jones Road, Bellbird Park 206-208 Jones Road, Bellbird Park 196-198 Jones Road, Bellbird Park
Appeal Summary: This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to approve a reduced lot yield of 29 lots, achieving a dwelling density of 10du/ha and minimum lot size of 600m2 and conditions relating to flooding and stormwater management.		
Status: Matter to be finalised by consent order on 1 August 2019 for the approval of 43 residential lots.		
Appeal No: 787/2019	Appeal Date: 6/3/2019	Case Name: Charlton Estate Pty Ltd vs ICC
Solicitor: N/A at this time		Appeal Type: Applicant Appeal
P&D Register No: 150	Application No: 5794/2018/RAL	Applicant: Charlton Estate QLD Pty Ltd
Division: 2		Property: 71-73 Oak Street, Bellbird Park 67-69 Oak Street, Bellbird Park
Appeal Summary: This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to approve a reduced lot yield of from 23 residential lots to 17 lots to achieve a dwelling density of 10 dwellings per hectare, minimise earthworks and maintain the character of the surrounding area.		
Status: Without prejudice discussions ongoing. Review by the court 31 August 2019.		
Appeal No: 939 of 2019	Appeal Date: 19/3/2019	Case Name: HPC Urban Design & Planning Pty Ltd and Bio-Recycle Australia Pty Ltd v Ipswich City Council
Solicitor: Allison Ferres-MacDonald		Appeal Type: Applicant Appeal
P&D Register No: 152	Application No: 5601/2004/MAM C/A	Applicant: Bio-Recycle Australia Pty Ltd
Division: 3		Property: 30 Memorial Drive, Swanbank
Appeal Summary: This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to refuse a 'Minor Change' application for a combined approval for MCU for an Environmental Recycling Park (Soil Conditioner Manufacturing and Waste Disposal Facility).		
The application was refused on the basis that:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• It failed to demonstrate that the proposed development is not in conflict with the Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.1 of 2018 (Waste Activity Regulation).• It failed to demonstrate that there is a need to extend the life of the existing facility by increasing the landfill height from the approved RL75 to RL80.the proposed changes would result in a substantially different development to that which is currently permitted as they change the ability of the proposed development to operate as intended and introduce new impacts or increase the severity of known impacts including but not limited to visual and environmental nuisances.		
Status: To be listed for review 14 days after the reasons for judgment are given by the court in appeal 473 of 2018.		