

Planning and Development Department Court Action Status Report 27 June 2019 Total Number of Appeals - 11

Note: Data is current as at close of business on the previous working day.

Planning & Environ	ment Court -	11 Appeal/s					
Appeal No:	2188 of 2017	Appeal Date:	19/6/2017	Case Name:	Lipoma Pty Ltd v Ipswich City Council		
Solicitor:	Daniel Best			Appeal Type:	Applicant Appeal		
P&D Register No:	134	Application No:	682/2003/MA/B	Applicant:	Thomson Geer Lawyers		
Division:	6			Property:	2 The Terrace, North Ipswich		
					6 The Terrace, North Ipswich		
Appeal Summary:					a permissible change request. The permissible change request which was refused by		
	Council sought the deletion of the part of condition 5(a)(ii) of the Riverlink Approval relating to the Commercial Village Precinct that requires a QR land contribution and extended arts precinct contribution.						
Statue		settled by infrastru		on.			
		Appeal Date:			Tocchini V Ipswich City Council		
Solicitor:	N/A at this tin			Appeal Type:	Applicant Appeal		
P&D Register No:	136	Application No:	8948/2016/CA	Applicant:	Mr Samuel Mark Tocchini and		
	10				Mrs Danielle Clare Tocchini		
Division:					201 Sids Dip Road, Lower Mount Walker		
Appeal Summary:	This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to part refuse an application. The refusal related to a proposed Intensive Animal Husbandry - Poultry						
	Farm and Environmentally Relevant Activity 4(2) - Poultry Farm. The appeal also relates to the conditions of the approved Reconfiguring a Lot - one (1) Lo into two (2) Lots.						
Status:	Without prejudice discussions ongoing. Listed for review on 18th June 2019.						
Appeal No:	473 of 2018	Appeal Date:	9/2/2018	Case Name:	HPC Urban Design & Planning Pty Ltd and Bio-Recycle Australia Pty Ltd v/s Ipswich City Council		
Solicitor	N/A at this tin	ne		Anneal Type	Applicant Appeal		
P&D Register No:			4475/2017/MCU		HPC Urban Design & Planning Pty Ltd		
Division:		Application No.	4410/2011/10/00	••			
Appear Summary:	This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to refuse an application. The MCU application for Special Industry (Extension to an existing Landfill for Non-Putrescible Waste) was refused on the basis of amenity impacts on to adjoining residential areas specifically Ripley Valley.						
Status:		Matter heard in court (25 March - 4 April 2019). Awaiting Judgement.					

Planning & Environ	ment Court -	- 11 Appeal/s					
-	945 of 2018		14/3/2018	Case Name:	Black Ink Architecture Pty Ltd v Ipswich City Council		
Solicitor:		F F			Applicant Appeal		
P&D Register No:	140	Application No:	3859/2017/MCU		Black Ink Architecture Pty Ltd		
Division:	4			Property:	41 Barclay Street, Bundamba		
					43 Barclay Street, Bundamba		
					39 Barclay Street, Bundamba		
Appeal Summary:					n application. The refusal related to a material change of use - child care centre which was		
Status					strained land and amenity. nged application by 12 July 2019. Experts to be notified by 26 July 2019.		
		· · ·					
••		8 Appeal Date:	11/5/2018		C.B. Developments Australia Pty Ltd v ICC		
Solicitor:					Applicant Appeal		
P&D Register No:		Application No:	4432/2017/RAL	••	CB Developments Pty Ltd		
Division:	2			Property:	12-26 Eugene Street, Bellbird Park		
Anneal Summary	Lot 902 Eugene Street, Bellbird Park This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to refuse an application to reconfigure land into 333 lots plus parkland.						
••••••		Order given that nominated experts are to prepare joint reports by 27 July 2019. Without prejudice meeting is to held by 2 August 2019 and a further review					
otatuo.		or 9 August 2019.					
Appeal No:	6410 of 2018	⁸ Appeal Date:	20/9/2018	Case Name:	Mirvac Queensland Pty Ltd v Ipswich City Council and Home Investment Consortium		
					Company Pty Ltd		
Solicitor:				•••	Originating Application		
P&D Register No:		Application No:	911/2018/ADP	••	Home Investment Consortium Company Pty Ltd		
Division:					95 Southern Cross Circuit, Springfield Central		
Appeal Summary:	This is an originating application seeking a declaration that Council's approval of 11 April 2018 to approve an Area Development Plan is invalid and of no legal effect, or alternatively is to be set aside owing to the approval not being a minor amendment for the purposes of the Springfield Structure Plan.						
	Council granted an Area Development Plan approval to permit the establishment of a range of Supporting Uses in conjunction with the approved Retail						
	Warehouse. The Supporting Uses were for the display and sale by retail of the goods as identified in the Master Area Development Plan – Toys, Fabrics, haberdashery and home décor, Craft and hobby supplies, Housewares, and Pet products.						
Status		ry and nome decor			ewares, and Pet products.		
Appeal No:		Appeal Date:			Springfield Investments (Qld) Pty Ltd v Ipswich City Council		
	N/A at this ti		11/12/2010				
P&D Register No:		Application No:	7385/2018/00	•••	Applicant Appeal Springfield Investments (Qld) Pty Ltd		
Division:			1000/2010/00	••	37-43 Springfield Parkway, Springfield		
		nlicant anneal agai	net Council's dooid				
Appear Summary.	This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to refuse an application. The refusal related to carrying out operational works for the erection of a pylon sign advertising Hungry Jack's. The grounds for refusal were primarily based around impacts to the amenity of the surrounding area and that the advertising						
	does not relate to the premises (approved Hungry Jack's site is located at 15-17 Commercial Drive, Springfield).						
Status:	Without prej	udice discussions of	ongoing.				

		Planning & Environment Court - 11 Appeal/s						
Appeal No: 4457 of 2018 A	Appeal Date:	12/12/2018	Case Name:	Weyba3 Pty Ltd v Ipswich City Council				
Solicitor: N/A at this time			Appeal Type:	Applicant Appeal				
P&D Register No: 147 Ap	oplication No:	7117/2017/CA	Applicant:	WEBYA3				
Division: 2			Property:	16 Redbank Plains Road, Goodna				
				45A Ascot Street, Goodna				
				45 Ascot Street, Goodna				
•••••••	This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to refuse an application. The refusal related to reconfiguring the subject land into 78 residential lots and							
				are non-compliant with the planning scheme provisions. s with a further review by the court on 15 August 2019.				
		· · ·	· · ·					
	Appeal Date:	29/1/2019		Golf Links Development Pty Ltd				
Solicitor: N/A at this time			Appeal Type:	Applicant Appeal				
P&D Register No: 149 Ap	oplication No:	6770/2018/CA	Applicant:	Golf Links Land Development Pty Ltd				
Division: 2			Property:	210-214 Jones Road, Bellbird Park				
				206-208 Jones Road, Bellbird Park				
				196-198 Jones Road, Bellbird Park				
				200-204 Jones Road, Bellbird Park				
•• •	This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to approve a reduced lot yield of 29 lots, achieving a dwelling density of 10du/ha and minimum lot size							
	of 600m2 and conditions relating to flooding and stormwater management. Matter adjourned until 19 July 2019.							
••	Appeal Date:	6/3/2019		Charlton Estate Pty Ltd vs ICC				
Solicitor: N/A at this time				Applicant Appeal				
-	oplication No:	5794/2018/RAL	••	Charlton Estate QLD Pty Ltd				
Division: 2			•••	71-73 Oak Street, Bellbird Park				
	67-69 Oak Street, Bellbird Park							
	This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to approve a reduced lot yield of from 23 residential lots to 17 lots to achieve a dwelling density of 10 dwellings per hectare, minimise earthworks and maintain the character of the surrounding area.							
	Without prejudice discussions ongoing.							

Planning & Environment Court - 11 Appeal/s							
Appeal No:	939 of 2019	Appeal Date:	19/3/2019	Case Name:	HPC Urban Design & Planning Pty Ltd and Bio-Recycle Australia Pty Ltd v Ipswich City Council		
Solicitor:	Allison Ferres-MacDonald Appeal Type:			Appeal Type:	Applicant Appeal		
P&D Register No:	152	Application No:	5601/2004/MAM C/A	Applicant:	Bio-Recycle Australia Pty Ltd		
Division:	3			Property:	30 Memorial Drive, Swanbank		
Appeal Summary:	This is an applicant appeal against Council's decision to refuse a 'Minor Change' application for a combined approval for MCU for an Environmental Recycling Park (Soil Conditioner Manufacturing and Waste Disposal Facility).						
	 The application was refused on the basis that: It failed to demonstrate that the proposed development is not in conflict with the Temporary Local Planning Instrument No.1 of 2018 (Waste Activity Regulation). It failed to demonstrate that there is a need to extend the life of the existing facility by increasing the landfill height from the approved RL75 to RL80.the proposed changes would result in a substantially different development to that which is currently permitted as they change the ability of the proposed development to operate as intended and introduce new impacts or increase the severity of known impacts including but not limited to visual and environmental nuisances. 						
Status:	To be listed for review 14 days after the reasons for judgment are given by the court in appeal 473 of 2018.						