



City of  
**Ipswich**

**IPSWICH  
CITY  
COUNCIL**

**AGENDA**

*of the*

**SPECIAL MEETING OF THE IPSWICH CENTRAL  
REDEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

**Held in the Council Chambers,  
8th floor – 1 Nicholas Street  
IPSWICH QLD 4305**

**On Thursday, 9 December 2021  
At 8.00 am**

<b><u>MEMBERS OF THE IPSWICH CENTRAL REDEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE</u></b>	
Councillor Marnie Doyle ( <b>Chairperson</b> ) Deputy Mayor Nicole Jonic ( <b>Deputy Chairperson</b> )	Mayor Teresa Harding Councillor Kate Kunzelmann Councillor Russell Milligan

## **SPECIAL IPSWICH CENTRAL REDEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE AGENDA**

*8.00 am* on **Thursday**, 9 December 2021

in the Council Chambers, 8th Floor, 1 Nicholas Street, Ipswich

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Item Title</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
	<b>Declarations of Interest</b>	
	<b>Officers' Reports</b>	
1	**Nicholas Street Precinct - Approval of an Agreement for Lease for Metro B Tenancy 2BK1	6
2	**Procurement - Nicholas Street Precinct Cinema Operations	22

\*\* Item includes confidential papers

**SPECIAL IPSWICH CENTRAL REDEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE NO. 12**

**9 DECEMBER 2021**

AGENDA

**DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS ON THE AGENDA**

**OFFICERS' REPORTS**

1. **\*\*NICHOLAS STREET PRECINCT - APPROVAL OF AN AGREEMENT FOR LEASE FOR METRO B TENANCY 2BK1**

This is a report concerning an agreement for lease for council's consideration associated with tenancy 2BK1 within the Nicholas Street Precinct's Metro B Building.

**RECOMMENDATION**

- A. That Council enter into an Agreement for Lease with the proposed lessee for Tenancy 2BK1 in the Metro B Building (impacting part of lot RP157021) ("Tenancy 2BK1") within the Nicholas Street Precinct (under the commercial terms detailed in the confidential report and attachments by the Project Manager dated 30 November 2021).
- B. That conditional upon Council satisfactorily executing the Agreement to Lease with the proposed lessee, (contained in recommendation A of this report), Council enter into a lease for Tenancy 2BK1 with the proposed lessee (as detailed in the confidential report and attachments by the Project Manager dated 30 November 2021).
- C. That Council note, that in relation to Council's disposal of its leasehold interest in Tenancy 2BK1 to the proposed lessee, that the Ministerial exemption under s236 (f) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* applies to the disposal of Council's interest in Tenancy 2BK1. (Ministerial exemption contained in Attachment 1 of this report).
- D. That pursuant to Section 257(1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 2009*, Council resolve to delegate to the Chief Executive Officer the power to take "contractual action" pursuant to section 238 of the Regulation, in order to implement Council's decision at Recommendation B.
- E. That Council be kept informed as to the progress and outcome of the execution and publication of details.

---

2.      **\*\*PROCUREMENT - NICHOLAS STREET PRECINCT CINEMA OPERATIONS**

This is a report concerning the procurement and recommendation of a lessee to operate a Cinema in 'The Venue', located at 163 Brisbane Street, Ipswich QLD 4305, Lot 1 on RP209886.

**RECOMMENDATION**

- A.      That pursuant to Section 228 of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* (Regulation), Council award Tender number 16041 for the disposal of interest in land at 163 Brisbane Street, Ipswich QLD 4305 more particularly described as part of Lot 1 and Plan RP209886, to Tenderer A (Lessee), for the permitted use of a Cinema.
- B.      That Council enter into an agreement for lease with the Lessee:
- (i)      For the purposes of the tenancy fit-out, and
  - (ii)     for a term commencing on execution of agreement and ending on the commencement of the lease agreement, with no options for extension.
- C.      That Council enter into a lease with the Lessee:
- (iii)    at an annual rent detailed in Confidential Attachment 1, and
  - (iv)    for an initial term with extension options as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.
- D.      That pursuant to Section 257(1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 2009*, Council resolve to delegate to the Chief Executive Officer the power to take "contractual action" pursuant to section 238 of the Regulation, in order to implement Council's decision.
-

Doc ID No: A7764108

ITEM: 1

SUBJECT: NICHOLAS STREET PRECINCT - APPROVAL OF AN AGREEMENT FOR LEASE FOR METRO B TENANCY 2BK1

AUTHOR: PROJECT MANAGER

DATE: 30 NOVEMBER 2021

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is a report concerning an agreement for lease for council's consideration associated with tenancy 2BK1 within the Nicholas Street Precinct's Metro B Building.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

- A. That Council enter into an Agreement for Lease with the proposed lessee for Tenancy 2BK1 in the Metro B Building (impacting part of lot RP157021) ("Tenancy 2BK1") within the Nicholas Street Precinct (under the commercial terms detailed in the confidential report and attachments by the Project Manager dated 30 November 2021).
- B. That conditional upon Council satisfactorily executing the Agreement to Lease with the proposed lessee, (contained in recommendation A of this report), Council enter into a lease for Tenancy 2BK1 with the proposed lessee (as detailed in the confidential report and attachments by the Project Manager dated 30 November 2021).
- C. That Council note, that in relation to Council's disposal of its leasehold interest in Tenancy 2BK1 to the proposed lessee, that the Ministerial exemption under s236 (f) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* applies to the disposal of Council's interest in Tenancy 2BK1. (Ministerial exemption contained in Attachment 1 of this report).
- D. That pursuant to Section 257(1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 2009*, Council resolve to delegate to the Chief Executive Officer the power to take "contractual action" pursuant to section 238 of the Regulation, in order to implement Council's decision at Recommendation B.
- E. That Council be kept informed as to the progress and outcome of the execution and publication of details.

### RELATED PARTIES

Ranbury Property Services - Retail Leasing Agent  
Knight Frank Valuation & Advisory Queensland – Independent Market Appraisal

Councillor Fechner may have a potential conflict of interest in relation to this matter  
Councillor Madsen may have a potential conflict of interest in relation to this matter

## **IFUTURE THEME**

Vibrant and Growing

## **PURPOSE OF REPORT/BACKGROUND**

In 2019 council was granted a ministerial exemption under section 236 (1)(f) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* (Regulation) for the disposal of valuable non-current assets (leases and sub-leases) associated with the Nicholas Street Precinct redevelopment. To ensure council had sufficient time to fully implement its retail strategy, council sought and was granted an exemption until 30 June 2023. The goal of the exemption was to allow council to efficiently and effectively implement its retail strategy through the disposal of leases and State sub-leases (held by council) by more appropriate, market accepted, transactional means other than by a tender or auction process mandated under section 227 of the Regulation.

This exemption was sought as retail leasing is generally not undertaken through a tender or auction process. It is a specialised process undertaken by experienced retail leasing firms with relationships with the types of tenants targeted for a project. These relationships allow conversations to explain a project and the opportunities tailored to each prospective tenant. The retail leasing agent, appointed by council, has and continues to actively market the subject tenancies to prospective tenants.

Further, industry advice confirmed it would be highly likely that any tender or auction process for the retail tenancies would receive very limited responses and that any response would not contain the commercial terms sought by council. In addition, these processes would be inherently incapable of creating the desired mix and style of lessee council is seeking for its food and beverage and entertainment/leisure tenancies.

As part of the exemption approval process, council committed to adhere to the sound contracting principles detailed in section 104(3) of the *Local Government Act 2009*, specifically through a number of proposed safeguards to ensure openness and transparency with regard to the related transactions. Correspondence from the then Minister of Local Government dated 24 September 2019 (refer Attachment 1) confirmed approval of the exemption (for both council's retail and commercial tenancies). Further, this approval conditioned the exemption on compliance with the activities proposed by council to address the sound contracting principles as outlined in council's letter to the then Department of Local Government, Racing and Multicultural Affairs dated 10 September 2019 (refer Attachment 2). Specifically, this requires that the following condition be met, "each lease/sublease to be approved by the Economic Development Committee and then full council prior to its execution (agendas, papers and minutes all publicly accessible)". This process will be utilised for each individual retail (and future commercial) lease arrangement, noting that the relevant council committee for such matters is now the Ipswich Central Redevelopment Committee.

This paper is seeking council's approval to enter into an agreement for lease for a food and beverage tenancy associated with the Nicholas Street Precinct redevelopment utilising the

process detailed above. The agreement for lease relates to a sub-lease for one of the ground floor tenancies in the Metro B building on the corner of Nicholas Street and Union Place being 2BK1 (26 m<sup>2</sup>). Council holds the leasehold interest from Queensland Rail (Lease Dealing No. 601375840) over the Metro B building with the long-term lease expiring on 27 November 2077. The Metro B building impacts part of lot RP157021 (over the rail line).

A lease is a contract by which one party conveys land, property, services, etc. to another for a specified term, and in this instance in return for a periodic payment. The lease agreement outlines all of the aspects of the lease arrangement so that each party understands their rights and obligations under the lease. An agreement for lease is necessary when the party owning the land is investing significant capital into refurbishing or building new space for the potential lessee. The agreement for lease pre-empts and contractually links to the final lease document whilst committing both parties to enter into a lease on occupation and/or opening of the space. Essentially an agreement for lease de-risks the landlord's (council's) capital expenditure with a contracted outcome.

The Nicholas Street Precinct team will be negotiating up to 40 retail, food and beverage and leisure deals over the next few years. During this process and with the desire to drive the best financial outcome for council and its constituents, the precinct team need to protect this outcome by maintaining a competitive advantage during the negotiations, which can only happen with an element of confidentiality. The lessees will also seek and in most cases secure contractual obligations on both parties to maintain a level of confidentiality around commercially sensitive material. This is likely to be around keys terms like rent, term, options to extend, landlord's incentives, sales data access and general operational costs of the tenancy.

The brand names associated with each executed agreement for lease/lease will be released prior to the tenant's opening with the precinct team's desire being to drive marketing reach across relating real estate and retail industry media channels to best serve the leasing uptake. Announcements relating to Eats and Metro B lessees are planned to be released in batches in the new year. As a result, the lessee's details and agreed commercial terms to be reflected in the lease documentation are Commercial in Confidence. A memorandum of advice detailing the Commercial in Confidence nature of the content of this report is attached (refer confidential Attachment 3). Specific details on the lessee, the commercial terms and proposed tenure length are contained in confidential Attachments 4 - 6).

Council engaged Knight Frank Valuation and Advisory Queensland to provide an independent market appraisal of each proposed lease arrangement's commercial terms as detailed in a Heads of Agreement (a precursor to an agreement for lease/lease detailing agreed commercial terms between the parties). This advice is considered by council prior to the Chief Executive Officer endorsing any Heads of Agreement progressing to the preparation of related legal documentation including agreements for lease, leases and incentive deeds. Knight Frank's review of Metro B tenancy 2BK1 is attached (refer confidential Attachment 5). Also attached is the Lease Deal Approval Report for Metro B tenancy 2BK1 which details any material changes with commercial terms from the Knight Frank' reviews to the preparation of the agreements for lease (refer confidential Attachments 6).

This paper seeks approval for council to enter into an agreement for lease for a food and beverage tenancy associated with the Nicholas Street Precinct redevelopment. The



delegation to the Chief Executive Officer will enable council's execution of the agreement for lease and the lease for the Metro B tenancy 2BK1 and ensure the lessee can commence their fit-out works to allow for opening as soon as possible.

Post the execution of the lease documentation for each tenancy, council will be provided with a subsequent report detailing lessee specifics and their offering.

## LEGAL/POLICY BASIS

This report and its recommendations are consistent with the following legislative provisions:

*Local Government Act 2009*

*Local Government Regulation 2012*

## RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

The medium to long-term success and viability of both the retail precinct and the overall Ipswich Central CBD Redevelopment is heavily dependent on the retail leasing program delivering a tenancy mix comprising high-quality, commercially successful tenants. The approval and subsequent execution of agreements for lease will send a positive signal to the retail leasing market around the precinct's future success.

## HUMAN RIGHTS IMPLICATIONS

HUMAN RIGHTS IMPACTS	
OTHER DECISION	
(a) What is the Act/Decision being made?	<p>Recommendation A relates to Council entering into an agreement for lease for Metro B 2BK1 tenancy.</p> <p>Recommendation B relates to Council entering into a lease for Metro B 2BK1 tenancy.</p> <p>Recommendation C outlines how Council will apply a ministerial exemption to the disposal of a leasehold interest in the Metro B building.</p> <p>Recommendation D delegates to the CEO the power to execute related lease documentation.</p> <p>Recommendation E relates to the provision of an update on related matters to council.</p>
(b) What human rights are affected?	No human rights are affected by this decision. This is because the prospective lessee is a company (only individuals have human rights).

(c) How are the human rights limited?	Not applicable.
(d) Is there a good reason for limiting the relevant rights? Is the limitation fair and reasonable?	Not applicable.
(e) Conclusion	The decision is consistent with human rights.

## FINANCIAL/RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

The retail precinct's short-term commercial success remains dependent on identifying, attracting and securing a commercially viable tenancy mix through executed leases and sub-leases. Funding for the retail leasing agent's payments and any associated tenant incentives are incorporated into the project's budget.

## COMMUNITY AND OTHER CONSULTATION

The contents of this report did not require any community consultation.

Detailed consultation has occurred with the Department of State Development, Infrastructure, Local Government and Planning on the both the conditioned process and the content of associated documentation to council for agreement for lease/lease approvals.





Council's Legal Services team has been consulted on the form/contents of related reports to council associated with lease approvals for the Nicholas Street Precinct.

## CONCLUSION

The flexibility provided by the ministerial exemption over the Regulation's tender and auction provisions allows council to optimise the tenancy mix, the commercial outcomes and the long-term success for each tenancy and the project overall.

As a priority, the focus is to progress the conversion of endorsed Heads of Agreement into agreement for leases/leases particularly given the status of refurbishment works to both the Eats and Metro B buildings. Approval of the first agreement for lease for the Metro B building within the Nicholas Street Precinct will reinforce the positive message to the Ipswich community and the market that the redevelopment is moving forward.

## ATTACHMENTS AND CONFIDENTIAL BACKGROUND PAPERS

1.	Ministerial Exemption Approval 24.9.19  
2.	Ministerial Exemption ICC Clarifications 10.9.19  
	CONFIDENTIAL
3.	NSP Retail Leases - Legal Advice
4.	Confidential Report - Metro B 2BK1
5.	Knight Frank Assessment - Metro B 2BK1
6.	Lease Deal Approval Report - Metro B 2BK1

Greg Thomas  
**PROJECT MANAGER**

I concur with the recommendations contained in this report.

James Hilyard  
**MANAGER, WORKS AND FIELD SERVICES**

***“Together, we proudly enhance the quality of life for our community”***



Minister for Local Government,  
Minister for Racing and  
Minister for Multicultural Affairs

Our ref: MC19/4387

24 SEP 2019

Mr Greg Chemello  
Interim Administrator  
Ipswich City Council  
PO Box 191  
IPSWICH QLD 4305

1 William Street  
Brisbane Queensland 4000  
PO Box 15009  
City East Queensland 4002  
Telephone +61 7 3719 7560  
Email [lgm@dmr.qld.gov.au](mailto:lgm@dmr.qld.gov.au)  
Website [www.digma.qld.gov.au](http://www.digma.qld.gov.au)

ABN 65 959 415 148

Dear Greg

Thank you for your letter of 12 August 2019, seeking an exemption from compliance with the tender or auction requirements in section 227 of the Local Government Regulation 2012 (the Regulation) for the disposal of the Ipswich City Council's interest in land within the Ipswich Central Business District precinct.

I am advised that officers of the Department of Local Government, Racing and Multicultural Affairs subsequently met with Council officers to discuss the scope of the application and additional information necessary to assess the Council's application. Following this meeting, the Council provided the Department with a letter dated 10 September 2019, reducing the scope of its application to solely the leasing and sub-leasing component of the Council's original request, and providing the additional information requested by the Department.

Following an assessment of the Council's request, I have approved an exemption under section 236(1)(f) of the Regulation from compliance with section 227 of the Regulation for the leasing and sub-leasing of the Council's interest in land within the Ipswich Central Business District precinct, subject to the following conditions:

- The exemption relates to the properties identified in Attachment 1 to the Council's letter to the Department dated 10 September 2019, which provided additional information regarding the Council's application.
- The exemption is for a period up to 30 June 2023.
- The Council must comply with the activities proposed by the Council to address the sound contracting principles in section 104(3) of the *Local Government Act 2009*, as outlined in the Council's letter to the Department dated 10 September 2019.
- For the period of the exemption, the Council must provide a quarterly report to me detailing the exercise of this exemption. The report must include details of the status of all lease arrangements, an independent market appraisal of the lease, reasons for any lease being under market value and details of any conflicts of interest (COI) or material personal interest (MPI) held by the Interim Administrator, members of the Interim Management Committee, Councillor or employee of the Council in relation to each lease and how the COI or MPI was managed.

- For the period of the exemption, the Council must provide a six-monthly report to me on the CBD redevelopment project's overall implementation and achievements and its impacts on the wider Ipswich economy.

I have asked for Ms Rebecca McAnalen, Principal Regional Advisor (South), Local Government Division in the Department to assist you with any further queries. You may wish to contact Ms McAnalen on 3452 6738 or by email at [rebecca.mcanalen@dlgrma.qld.gov.au](mailto:rebecca.mcanalen@dlgrma.qld.gov.au).

Yours sincerely



**STIRLING HINCHLIFFE MP**  
Minister for Local Government,  
Minister for Racing and  
Minister for Multicultural Affairs

Greg Thomas  
(07) 3810 7080



**Ipswich City Council**

45 Roderick St  
PO Box 191  
Ipswich QLD 4305  
Australia

**Tel** (07) 3810 6666  
**Fax** (07) 3810 6731  
**Email** council@ipswich.qld.gov.au  
**Web** ipswich.qld.gov.au

Kent Wain  
Manager Southern Region  
Department of Local Government, Racing and Multicultural Affairs  
Level 3, 12 First Avenue  
Maroochydore QLD 4558

10 September 2019

Dear Mr Wain

**Re: Additional information re Ipswich City Council's request for exemption under section 236 (1)(f) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* - Nicholas Street - Ipswich Central (CBD Redevelopment)**

With reference to departmental correspondence dated 26 August 2019 and the 28 August 2019 meeting between council, its consultants and officers of the Department of Local Government, Racing and Multicultural Affairs (DLGRMA), this correspondence provides the requested executive summary outlining (at a high-level) reasoning for pursuing the above ministerial exemption (exemption) together with responses to other clarifications sought by DLGRMA.

At the 28 August 2019 meeting, discussions occurred on both the scope and complexity of council's application dated 12 August 2019. As a result, council has modified its request for an exemption to solely the leasing and sub-leasing component of the original application. Council proposes that any future divestments within the precinct will be the subject of separate site-specific exemption applications. Given this, a number of the clarifications sought by your department specifically relating to the disposal of freehold or leasehold land are not dealt with in this correspondence (refer Attachment 8).

**Executive Summary**

Council is seeking a ministerial exemption under section 236 (1)(f) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* (Regulation) for the disposal of valuable non-current assets (leases/sub-leases) associated with its Nicholas Street - Ipswich Central CBD redevelopment (the project). The exemption will allow council to efficiently and effectively implement its retail strategy through the disposal of related non-current assets (leases/sub-leases) by more appropriate, market accepted,

transactional means other than by a tender or auction process as required under section 228 of the Regulation.

### **Background**

Since 2009 Council's subsidiary, Ipswich City Properties Pty Ltd (ICP), has purchased the majority of the Nicholas Street assets (freehold and leasehold) with the vision of leading the redevelopment of the Ipswich CBD. Due to a number of factors, significant delays were experienced in progressing the redevelopment. As a result, the CBD is currently underutilised and the ongoing delays have contributed to its decline, significantly impacting commercial activity and community perception of the precinct.

Council's overarching goal for the project is to revitalise the precinct into a vibrant, mixed-use development ultimately incorporating civic functions, retail, commercial, entertainment and public spaces. The project's success is critical to allow council to regain the local community's trust and rebuild the positive sentiment which has been eroded by recent political events. Council's strategic objectives for the CBD's renewal also include the creation of an enduring and activated civic heart, the relocation of council's administration centre and a civic space capable of delivering cultural and entertainment activities (refer schematic Attachment 6).

Council's ultimate redevelopment of the Nicholas Street/Union Place precinct will include up to 46 retail and four commercial tenancies with up to 13 floors of commercial office space (refer Attachments 3 to 5 for the latest schematics of the retail tenancies).

### **Application Driver**

To progress the delayed redevelopment and as part of the process to deregister ICP due to prior governance concerns and poor public perception, all of ICP's land holdings and interests in the CBD precinct transferred to council on 27 June 2019. Due to the Regulation's requirements, the change in ownership of these non-current assets (refer Attachments 1 and 2) has impeded council's ability to implement ICP's leasing and divestment strategy (refer pp 18-21 of Business Case - Attachment 3). This strategy was underpinned by ICP's ability to successfully lease the retail and commercial tenancies to a predetermined mix and at a future date, divest itself of what are essentially non-core local government assets. Attachment 2 identifies the leasing or sub-leasing proposal for each precinct asset.

Under section 228 of the Regulation, disposal of non-current assets must be through a tender or auction process unless an exemption is granted under section 236 (1)(f). Under ICP's strategy now adopted by council, the proposed disposal of non-current assets specifically relates to the leasing and future sale of freehold land and the sub-leasing or future sale of State leases. Following the meeting with DLGRMA on 28 August 2019, council has modified its request for an exemption to the solely the leasing and sub-leasing component of the original application dated 12 August 2019. It is council's position sub-optimal outcomes for both the project and the Ipswich community will result if disposal of the leases and sub-leases occurs through either a tender or auction process.

### **Industry Practice**

Retail and commercial leasing is generally not undertaken through a tender or auction process. It is a specialised process best undertaken by experienced retail leasing executives who have relationships with the types of tenants targeted for a project. Given these relationships, the retail leasing executives are able to conduct trusted conversations to adequately explain a project and its

opportunities tailored to that particular tenant and as a result, gather an understanding of their tenancy requirements.

Traditional industry practice (especially for a development of this size) is that an independent assessment of likely market rents is first prepared with a schedule of asking rents determined. Properties are then marketed and advertised widely. ICP had until 27 June 2019 undertaken this approach and appointed a leasing agent (secured after a competitive process). This agent, now directly appointed by council, has and is continuing to actively market the subject properties to prospective retail tenants with a number confirming strong interest. Further a number of parties have submitted signed lease offers to both ICP/council with a majority of the offers received meeting or exceeding council's target rental levels.

#### **Why an Exemption?**

Industry advice confirms that it would be highly likely that any tender or auction process for the retail or commercial tenancies would receive very limited responses and that those that were received would not contain the commercial terms sought by council. In addition, these processes are inherently incapable of creating the desired mix and style of lessee council is seeking for its food and beverage and entertainment areas. Council's reasons for pursuing the exemption option are clarified below.

*Why wouldn't a tender or auction process deliver optimal outcomes or the commercial terms desired by Council?* Advice from council's retail leasing team is that the retail leasing market would not adequately respond to a tender or auction process for retail or commercial tenancy opportunities. Traditionally this market operates and responds to experienced retail leasing executives who have developed relationships and trust with retailers to individually and specifically introduce such opportunities directly to them.

A tender or auction process does not spread the 'net' wide enough to capture all parties that would or could be interested in the precinct or identified for targeting by council. Prospective tenants sought would simply not engage in such processes given opportunities are generally historically introduced to them on a specific and individual basis. In short, generally these entities do not need to engage in a tender or auction process to secure space to expand their businesses.

Interstate operators would not receive or be aware of local or state-based public tender processes. In contrast, the direct contact leasing approach to date has introduced the project and its specifically targeted opportunity to approximately 300 retailers. A previous EOI campaign conducted by ICP in relation to the entertainment venue and a component of the food and beverage offer only received limited responses.

Generally speaking retail space is never auctioned. While multiple offers might be entertained for an individual site, there is no bidding process to drive an improved commercial outcome. Also with retail, the highest bidder for a particular tenancy may not be provide the lessor with the best result for the development overall. The quality of offer, fit-out, covenant, brand and overall experience a customer can expect are far more important drivers for the appropriate commercial decision and long-term viability of the both the tenancy and the overall retail development. This is especially so in the case of this project where the strategy is to create an enduring point of difference over both Riverlink Shopping Centre and other food and beverage and entertainment providers in the Ipswich area.



*Why wouldn't an appropriately drafted tender process deliver the desired tenancy mix for the CBD?*

The tenancy mix for a project is a carefully considered strategic approach, followed by strategic targeting of tenants who are deemed most appropriate for that mix, with direct contact established to introduce and articulate the project opportunity. It is common industry practice that this is the process by which tenants receive their tenancy opportunities for consideration.

The tenancy mix is critical as in reality it is tailoring the targeted tenants to suit the environment and precincts that are being created together with ensuring longevity of the lease. Rather than taking an ad-hoc approach to the market and inviting anyone to tender, the general industry approach is to take a more precise approach. By leveraging retailer relationships, better engagement and interest is achieved. In this project's case, irrespective of how well defined a tender process may have been designed, it is considered highly unlikely that some of the extremely interested prospective tenants (who have already submitted offers) would be regularly reviewing the LG Tender Box or local papers or the internet to identify potential tenders to participate in.

*Why wouldn't a tender or auction process achieve commercially sound bids due to the CBD redevelopment project's chequered history?* As discussed above, prospective tenants generally do not participate in tender or auction processes. This is certainly the case for projects that have had significant challenges and/or history such as in the case with the Ipswich CBD redevelopment. The personalised approach with prospective tenants including open communication with interested or targeted parties has and will enable the leasing team to address and clear up any pre-existing and or ill-conceived perceptions of council or Ipswich due to recent events and reinforce the opportunity. A degree of 'sales' prowess is required for this project which is why a personalised approach will always yield superior results.

*How will council deal with the sub-leases that it has with State agencies and are there any restrictions within these sub-leases which would impact tender or auction options?* As with any other leases, council will abide by the terms and the conditions of any existing sub-leases with State agencies for the duration of that sub-lease. Council has the following existing arrangements in the CBD precinct:

- Queensland Health – Two sub leases on ground and lower ground of 2 Bell Street respectively, both expiring 30 November 2019, post this date only the lower ground tenancy will remain but on a licence arrangement for three years;
- West Moreton Health – Licence on Level 1 of 2 Bell Street has expired, currently operating on a month by month basis; and
- Seqwater – lease for 200 car park spaces in Civic Square (not relevant to this application).

A review of the sub-leases did not identify any restrictive clauses with regard to a tender or auction process taking place. It did identify a requirement for a standard advance notification to the tenant where a sale or a change in ownership is to occur.

Council will need to consult and obtain the written approval of Queensland Rail as the Lessor to enter into any new lease or sub-lease arrangement for the existing registered leases located at 25 Ipswich City Mall and 2 Bell Street.

*Is there a possibility of staging an exemption approval for parts of the project, that is delaying leasing certain properties or the divestment strategy?* As discussed above, council has modified its request for an exemption to solely the leasing and sub-leasing component of the original application dated 12 August 2019 with any future sale within the precinct to be a site-specific exemption application.

While a number of permutations exist for the staging the delivery of the retail portfolio including realigning the timing for some food and beverage offerings with the administration centre opening around July 2021, council's position is that the exemption should cover all of the retail and commercial tenancies for the following reasons:

- Council's staging delivery is yet to be finalised and as such, a restricted exemption approval at this time based on a reduced number of tenancies may be premature and cause subsequent delays to the project;
- Flexibility is crucial in retail leasing to accommodate specific tenants needs as negotiations are advanced in the leasing process, the mix and tenant requirements are constantly reviewed and revised as interest is firmed up through the process; and
- The exemption term sought (see below) is to 30 June 2023 – if granted this will allow council sufficient time to either fully implement its retail strategy or if required modify its delivery program to stage parts of the retail and commercial development.

#### Exemption Term

To ensure council has a sufficient period to fully implement its retail strategy and maximise the leasing take-up on the numerous retail and commercial leases within the precinct, council is seeking the exemption be granted until 30 June 2023. The success of the precinct is predicated on commercial arrangements for the majority of the tenancies (food and beverage and entertainment) being in place to allow them to commence operation from the middle to the end of 2021. Based on the projected success of the development and the requested exemption timeline to 30 June 2023, council anticipates it will have significantly achieved its leasing strategy by this time.

Depending on the success of any future divestment strategy, if the leasing outcome sought is not likely to be achieved by 30 June 2023, council will in the period leading up to the expiration date lodge a further exemption application.

#### Transparency and Safeguards

Should council's application for an exemption for the project's leasing and sub-leasing be approved, council understands that associated conditions will apply for the term of the exemption. In anticipation, council commits to adhering to the sound contracting principles detailed in section 104(3) of the *Local Government Act 2009*. Council will ensure openness and transparency with regard to the related transactions through, but not be limited to:

S 104(3) Principle	Proposed Activity
a) value for money	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appointment of an independent, external reviewer to assess the commercials and probity of each tenancy deal to ensure lease terms proposed are market aligned</li> <li>• Each lease/sublease to be approved by the Economic Development Committee and then full council prior to its execution – agendas, papers and minutes all publically accessible</li> <li>• Regular reporting to DLGRMA of the application of the exemption including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• changes in project strategy/scope/deliverables</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Item 1 / Attachment 2.

S 104(3) Principle	Proposed Activity
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• lease arrangements/lessee</li> <li>• market appraisal v actual lease terms</li> <li>• reasons why a lease may not achieve market value</li> </ul>
b) open and effective competition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Promotion of the Nicholas St website, encouragement of leasing enquiries through an on-line form and associated contact details</li> <li>• Continued public presentations and other communications outlining the project and promotion of its opportunities to prospective tenants</li> <li>• Publically available monthly reports to council on status of the leasing strategies including confirmation of signed leases (less confidential information)</li> <li>• Regular reporting to DLGRMA on the status of the leasing strategy including confirmation of signed leases etc.</li> </ul>
c) development of competitive local business and industry	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Promotion of the Nicholas St website, encouragement of leasing enquiries through an on-line form and associated contact details</li> <li>• For the exemption's duration, six monthly high-level reporting to DLGRMA on the project's overall implementation and achievements and its impacts of the surrounding and wider Ipswich economy</li> </ul>
d) environmental protection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council will balance the development needs with environment needs and ensure all leases and sub-leases meet regulated environmental requirements</li> </ul>
e) ethical behaviour and fair dealing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Release of regular updates (press releases) to the media regarding key tenants secured (once leases are binding and the tenant agrees)</li> <li>• Appointment of an independent, external reviewer to assess the commercials and probity of each tenancy deal</li> <li>• Monthly reports to council on status of the leasing strategies including details of any conflicts of interest of the Interim Administrator, Interim Management Committee, councillors, council employees or project consultants</li> <li>• Regular reporting to DLGRMA to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• report on process probity by independent, external reviewer</li> <li>• reasons for any lease being under market value</li> <li>• details of any conflicts of interest</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

### Summary

The granting of an exemption will enable council to both counter-sign the existing lease offers achieved under ICP and continue the active marketing and acceptance of lease offers for the remaining tenancies. The success of the redevelopment is largely based on council's ability to identify and lock-in a high-quality, diverse tenancy mix that will on an ongoing basis draw the community into the precinct. In terms of momentum, communicating to prospective tenants, the quantum and type of leases already locked in to the development will greatly assist council in promoting the attractiveness of the opportunity. This is now at a critical point in time for the project, where further delay could have adverse impacts to ongoing leasing success.

Should council not be provided with the exemption, it is highly likely that sub-optimal commercial outcomes will result together with an inability to obtain the desired mix of retail and commercial leases and the ongoing devaluation of the council's assets. Further leasing momentum has been built through recent activities with approximately 300 prospective tenants communicated with to date and a number of lease offers received or under negotiation. Should the exemption not be granted, the project runs the risk of confidence being eroded once again if there are further delays with prospective tenants likely 'going cold' on the opportunity.

In line with a divestment strategy underpinned by the belief that it should not be the long-term owner of significant CBD holdings, it is council's medium to long-term intention to dispose of the leased/subleased assets to the private sector. Site specific ministerial exemptions will be sought at that time, likely once all or the majority of leases are in place and the precinct fully established and activated, strengthening each property's valuation and subsequent return to council upon sale.

This correspondence includes a number of attachments that provide additional supporting information and schematics to previously provided documentation. Attachment 7 contains a guide of detailed references in the correspondence and the business case dated 12 August which support the discussion above.

In summary, approval of a section 236 exemption for the disposal of non-current assets (via leases or sub-leases) for the Nicholas Street – Ipswich Central project will allow council to utilise traditional retail industry practices to significantly or fully lease/sub-lease the subject assets, assist council achieve its retail strategy goals and ultimately fully realise the value of each property and maximise the financial returns for the Ipswich community. The period of the ministerial exemption sought is to 30 June 2023.

Should you require further discussion or information on this matter, please contact me at [greg.thomas@ipswich.qld.gov.au](mailto:greg.thomas@ipswich.qld.gov.au) or on (07) 3810 7080 or 0447200008.

Yours sincerely

Greg Thomas  
**PROJECT MANAGER**

**ATTACHMENTS:**

Attachment	Title
Attachment 1	Subject Precinct Properties – Retail/Commercial Tenancy Yield
Attachment 2	Schematic – Retail Leasing – Impacted Freehold/Leasehold Properties
Attachment 3-5	Retail and Commercial Tenancies - Architectural Drawings 26.8.19
Attachment 6	Precinct Site Plan – Completion Dates – Civic Space/Council Building/Library
Attachment 7	References - Letter and Business Case
Attachment 8	26 August 2019 DLGRMA Sale Related Clarifications

Doc ID No: A7766567

ITEM: 2

SUBJECT: PROCUREMENT - NICHOLAS STREET PRECINCT CINEMA OPERATIONS

AUTHOR: CATEGORY SPECIALIST

DATE: 1 DECEMBER 2021

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is a report concerning the procurement and recommendation of a lessee to operate a Cinema in 'The Venue', located at 163 Brisbane Street, Ipswich QLD 4305, Lot 1 on RP209886.

### RECOMMENDATION

- A. That pursuant to Section 228 of the *Local Government Regulation 2012* (Regulation), Council award Tender number 16041 for the disposal of interest in land at 163 Brisbane Street, Ipswich QLD 4305 more particularly described as part of Lot 1 and Plan RP209886, to Tenderer A (Lessee), for the permitted use of a Cinema.
- B. That Council enter into an agreement for lease with the Lessee:
  - (i) For the purposes of the tenancy fit-out, and
  - (ii) for a term commencing on execution of agreement and ending on the commencement of the lease agreement, with no options for extension.
- C. That Council enter into a lease with the Lessee:
  - (iii) at an annual rent detailed in Confidential Attachment 1, and
  - (iv) for an initial term with extension options as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.
- D. That pursuant to Section 257(1)(b) of the *Local Government Act 2009*, Council resolve to delegate to the Chief Executive Officer the power to take "contractual action" pursuant to section 238 of the Regulation, in order to implement Council's decision.

### RELATED PARTIES

The related party, being Tenderer A, is detailed in the attachments to this report.

### IFUTURE THEME

Vibrant and Growing

## **PURPOSE OF REPORT/BACKGROUND**

On 28 January 2021, Council resolved that it was in the public interest to conduct an Expressions of Interest (EOI) process to identify suitable operators for a Cinema within the Ipswich Central redevelopment. The EOI tested the markets risk appetite, assessed industry confidence and assisted to determine what type of agreement structure was best suited for the market in the current climate.

The evaluation panel shortlisted three (3) submissions from the EOI to proceed to Request for Tender (RFT).

Please see Confidential Attachment 2 for full details of the procurement process. A synopsis of the process is detailed below:-

- The RFT was released to shortlisted suppliers on 23 June 2021, the RFT closed on 4 August 2021.
- RFT documents indicated Council's preference of a lease agreement.
- Tenderers were required to submit a conforming tender of a lease agreement.
- Tenderers could also submit an alternative offer of a management agreement, but only if submitted in conjunction with a conforming tender.
- Council received submissions from all three (3) tenderers.
- Council received one (1) conforming offer and three (3) alternative offers.
- Council evaluated the conforming offer and issued a request for clarification, unfortunately at this stage the tenderer withdrew their conforming offer, however expressed their willingness to continue with their alternative offer.
- During evaluation of the three (3) alternative offers, Council revised its strategy and proposed an alternative revenue based leasehold structure to all three (3) tenderers.
- All tenderers agreed to proceed with an alternative lease hold arrangement.
- Unique requests for clarification were issued to each tenderer to address gaps in their respective submissions.
- The requests for clarification, in conjunction with the original submitted EOI and RFT submissions, were considered by the evaluation panel and external consultants.
- Reports were commissioned from various external consultants to assist the evaluation panel in the final evaluation.
- The evaluation panel convened on 29 November 2021 and agreed on recommending Tenderer A as the preferred lessee to operate a Cinema in 'The Venue', located at 163 Brisbane Street, Ipswich QLD 4305, Lot 1 on RP209886.

Tenderer A has been recommended as the preferred lessee, due to the following:-

- Tenderer A has presented the best offer to Council out of all three submissions with:-
  - Highest rental return to Council over the lease term;
  - Lowest capital expenditure required from Council
  - Lowest annual promotional contribution from Council; and
  - Occupying a smaller leased area than Council proposed, providing the opportunity for Council to lease unused area separately and generate additional rental income.

- Tenderer A has committed to engaging local contractors greater than 30% for the fit-out and electrical and security services etc.

The RFT process has established a base level position between Council and Tenderer A for the agreement for lease and lease, as detailed in the Confidential Attachments. Tenderer A requires lessee board approval to proceed with further negotiations on the agreement for lease and is seeking a three (3) month exclusivity period with Council to finalise the tenant fit-out design and agreement for lease.

The proposed lease contains an option to renew, this is a contractual right that can be exercised by the lessee/tenant, under the *Retail Shop Leases Act 1994* and *Property Law Act 1974*. The right of the lessee to exercise the option is subject to certain conditions, including giving notice to the lessor that they wish to exercise the option during the timeframe specified in the lease (for example, between six and three months prior to the expiry of the lease) and not being in breach of the lease when they give notice that they wish to exercise the option. Provided that the lessee meets the conditions, the lessor must grant the lessee the option. The effect of an option being exercised is that the lessee continues to lease the premises on the same terms and conditions for the length of the option.

#### LEGAL/POLICY BASIS

This report and its recommendations are consistent with the following legislative provisions:  
*Local Government Act 2009*  
*Local Government Regulation 2012*

#### RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

The risk management implications have been considered in confidential attachment 2

#### HUMAN RIGHTS IMPLICATIONS

HUMAN RIGHTS IMPACTS	
OTHER DECISION	
(a) What is the Act/Decision being made?	That pursuant to Section 228 of the Regulation, Council award Tender number 16041 for the disposal of interest in land at 163 Brisbane Street, Ipswich QLD 4305 more particularly described as part of Lot 1 and Plan RP209886, to Tenderer A (Lessee), for the permitted use of a Cinema.
(b) What human rights are affected?	No Human Rights are affected in the making of this decision because the tenderers are all companies (only individuals have human rights). Further, the subject matter of the contract will not impact on the human rights of any third parties.
(c) How are the human rights limited?	Not applicable
(d) Is there a good reason for limiting	Not applicable



the relevant rights? Is the limitation fair and reasonable?	
(e) Conclusion	The decision is consistent with human rights.

### **FINANCIAL/RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

The budget implications have been considered in Confidential Attachment 2.

A separate report will be presented to Council in due course for capital upgrade requirements resulting from the awarding of this Tender.

### **COMMUNITY AND OTHER CONSULTATION**

No community consultation was conducted during the preparation of this report of during the tender process.

External consultants assisted throughout the tender process, these consultants and their roles are detailed in Confidential Attachment 2.

### **CONCLUSION**

It was determined by the evaluation panel, that the recommended lessee has the expertise and financial capacity to operate a cinema complex within the Ipswich Centre redevelopment.

Further, the announcement of an anchor tenant will strengthen the Ipswich Central vision as a prosperous and future focused hub for the community, encouraging new business operators to consider business opportunities and inspiring the community to visit.

### **ATTACHMENTS AND CONFIDENTIAL BACKGROUND PAPERS**

	CONFIDENTIAL
1.	Supporting Document
2.	Recommendation to Award

Shyanne Ward

**CATEGORY SPECIALIST**

I concur with the recommendations contained in this report.

Richard White

**MANAGER, PROCUREMENT**

I concur with the recommendations contained in this report.

Greg Thomas

**PROJECT MANAGER**

I concur with the recommendations contained in this report.

James Hilyard

**ACTING GENERAL MANAGER, INFRASTRUCTURE AND ENVIRONMENT**

***“Together, we proudly enhance the quality of life for our community”***