



City of Ipswich

AGENDA

of the

ADVOCACY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**Held in the Claremont Room
8th Floor, 1 Nicholas Street
IPSWICH QLD 4305**

**On Tuesday, 24 March 2026
At 1:00 PM**

MEMBERS OF THE ADVOCACY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Mayor Teresa Harding (**Chairperson**)
Councillor Marnie Doyle (**Deputy Chairperson**)

Councillor Pye Augustine
Councillor David Martin
Councillor Jim Madden
Deputy Mayor Nicole Jonic
Councillor Jacob Madsen
Councillor Andrew Antonioli

ADVOCACY ADVISORY COMMITTEE AGENDA

1:00 PM on **Tuesday, 24 March 2026**

in the Claremont Room, 8th Floor, 1 Nicholas Street, Ipswich

Item No.	Item Title	Page No.
	Declarations of Interest	
	Business Outstanding	
	Confirmation of Minutes	
1	Confirmation of Minutes of the Advocacy Advisory Committee No. 2025(04) of 8 December 2025	7
	Officers' Reports	
2	**Ipswich Leaders Forum	13
3	Growing Ipswich: Key Priorities for Infrastructure Investment	17
4	**Team Attraction Brochure Update	22
5	Electoral Commission of Queensland Proposed Redistribution	26
6	Next Meeting	-
7	General Discussion (within the purpose and scope of the committee)	-

** Item includes confidential papers

ADVOCACY ADVISORY COMMITTEE NO. 2026(01)

24 MARCH 2026

AGENDA

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS ON THE AGENDA

BUSINESS OUTSTANDING

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

1. **CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE ADVOCACY ADVISORY COMMITTEE NO. 2025(04) OF 8 DECEMBER 2025**

RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Advocacy Advisory Committee held on 8 December 2025 be confirmed.

OFFICERS' REPORTS

2. ****IPSWICH LEADERS FORUM**

This is a report concerning the planning for the Ipswich Leaders Forum in late 2026.

RECOMMENDATION

- A. That the Advocacy Advisory Committee receive and note the progression of the Ipswich Leaders Forum planning.
 - B. That the Advocacy Advisory Committee provide input on the preferred date, guest list and facilitator.
-

3. **GROWING IPSWICH: KEY PRIORITIES FOR INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT**

This is a report concerning the development of a public facing advocacy document *Growing Ipswich: Key Priorities for Infrastructure Investment* proposed to be launched at the Ipswich Leaders Forum. It includes the format and structure for what the document will include as well as a recommendation for supplementary engagement with community to understand how they prioritise council's key advocacy priorities.

RECOMMENDATION

- A. That the Advocacy Advisory Committee receive and note the development of the *Growing Ipswich: Key Priorities for Infrastructure Investment* to be released at the Ipswich Leaders Forum.
 - B. That the Advocacy Advisory Committee receive and note that community engagement will be conducted through the existing Living in Place annual survey to identify how the community ranks council advocacy priorities.
-

4. **TEAM ATTRACTION BROCHURE UPDATE

This is a report concerning an update on the stakeholder engagement since the Team Attraction Brochure was released in December 2025. The report also includes Training Camp Investment Guidelines and proposed venue additions for a new version of the Team Attraction Brochure.

RECOMMENDATION

- A. That the Advisory Committee receive and note the stakeholder engagement on the Team Attraction Brochure.
 - B. That the Advisory Committee receive and note the Training Camp Investment Guidelines and the proposed venue additions to a new version of the Team Attraction Brochure.
-

5. ELECTORAL COMMISSION OF QUEENSLAND PROPOSED REDISTRIBUTION

This is a report concerning the Queensland Redistribution Commission's (QRC) proposed redistribution of Queensland state electoral districts.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Advisory Committee note the QRC's proposed redistribution of the state electoral districts and the opportunity to provide objections to the proposals.

6. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting is scheduled for .

7. GENERAL DISCUSSION (within the purpose and scope of the committee)

ADVOCACY ADVISORY COMMITTEE NO. 2025(04)

8 DECEMBER 2025

MINUTES

ATTENDANCE:

Mayor Teresa Harding (Chairperson); Councillors David Martin, Jim Madden, Deputy Mayor Nicole Jonic (via audio-link), Jacob Madsen (via audio-link) and Andrew Antonioli

APOLOGIES:

Councillor Marnie Doyle (Deputy Chairperson) and Councillor Pye Augustine

OFFICERS' ATTENDANCE

Chief Executive Officer (Sonia Cooper), General Manager Community, Cultural and Economic Development (Ben Pole), General Manager Asset and Infrastructure Services (Seren McKenzie), Economic Development Manager (Dan Heenan), Advocacy Lead (Kate Adams), Manager, Community and Cultural Services (Don Stewart), Manager, Infrastructure Strategy (Tony Dileo), Chief of Staff, Office of the Mayor (Melissa Fitzgerald), Principal Sport Recreation and Community Facilities Planner (John Bolton)

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN MATTERS ON THE AGENDA

Nil

Councillor Andrew Antonioli arrived at the meeting at 2.32 pm.

BUSINESS OUTSTANDING

Nil

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

1. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE ADVOCACY ADVISORY COMMITTEE NO. 2025(03) OF 15 OCTOBER 2025

RECOMMENDATION

That the minutes of the Advocacy Advisory Committee held on 15 October 2025 be confirmed.

OFFICERS' REPORTS

2. **2026-2027 STATE AND FEDERAL BUDGET SUBMISSIONS**

This is a report concerning the 2026-2027 State and Federal Budget Submissions containing Councils advocacy priorities for the City of Ipswich.

“The attachment/s to this report are confidential in accordance with section 254J(3)(g) of the Local Government Regulation 2012.”

RECOMMENDATION

That the Advisory Committee note the proposed 2026-2027 State and Federal Budget Submissions.

DISCUSSION

Chief Executive Officer (Sonia Cooper) arrived at the meeting at 2.42 pm.

The committee discussed the 2026-2027 State and Federal Budget Submission. Discussion included updates in relation to the following:

- Elected Reps Working Group - Councillors provided feedback on their discussions with State and Federal Members
- Better Bus Network
- Critical and Enabling Infrastructure, including the Warrego, Cunningham and Centenary Highways
- Ebenezer Regional Industrial Area
- Ipswich Central Second River Crossing
- Ipswich to Springfield Central Public Transport Corridor
- Brisbane 2032 Olympic and Paralympic Games opportunities
- Inland rail

MOVE INTO CLOSED SESSION

Moved by Mayor Teresa Harding:

Seconded by Councillor Jim Madden:

That in accordance with section 254J(3)(g) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012*, the meeting move into closed session to discuss Item 2 titled 2026-2027 State and Federal Budget Submissions, specifically the second river crossing.

AFFIRMATIVE	NEGATIVE
Councillors:	Councillors:
Harding	Nil
Martin	
Madden	
Jonic	
Madsen	
Antoniolli	

The motion was put and carried.

The meeting moved into closed session at 2.56 pm.

MOVE INTO OPEN SESSION

Moved by Mayor Teresa Harding:
Seconded by Councillor David Martin:

That the meeting move into open session.

AFFIRMATIVE	NEGATIVE
Councillors:	Councillors:
Harding	Nil
Martin	
Madden	
Jonic	
Madsen	
Antoniolli	

The motion was put and carried.

The meeting moved into open session at 3.13 pm.

3. **SYNTHETIC ATHLETICS TRACK UPDATE**

This is a report concerning activity to progress consultation and analysis on the most viable locations for a synthetic athletics track in the City of Ipswich.

RECOMMENDATION

That the report on the Synthetic Athletics Track Update be received and noted.

DISCUSSION

Dion Collins (Managing Director from ROSS Planning) attended the meeting to discuss the current considerations and options of the synthetic athletics facility site assessments.

MOVE INTO CLOSED SESSION

Moved by Mayor Teresa Harding:

Seconded by Councillor Andrew Antonioli:

That in accordance with section 254J(3)(g) of the *Local Government Regulation 2012*, the meeting move into closed session to discuss Item 3 titled Synthetic Athletics Track Update.

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Councillors:

Councillors:

Harding

Nil

Martin

Madden

Jonic

Madsen

Antonioli

The motion was put and carried.

The meeting moved into closed session at 3.37 pm.

During discussion of this matter in closed session Councillor Andrew Antonioli declared that he is a Patron of the Ipswich Vigoro Association.

MOVE INTO OPEN SESSION

Moved by Mayor Teresa Harding:

Seconded by Councillor Andrew Antonioli:

That the meeting move into open session.

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Councillors:

Councillors:

Harding

Nil

Martin

Madden

Jonic

Madsen

Antonioli

The motion was put and carried.

The meeting moved into open session at 4.08 pm.

4. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting will be scheduled for February/March 2026.

5. GENERAL DISCUSSION (within the purpose and scope of the committee)

5.1 50-CENT CONNECTED REGION INITIATIVE

Mayor Teresa Harding tabled a joint letter from the Mayor of Toowoomba Regional Council and the Mayor of Lockey Valley Regional Council seeking support for progressing the *50-cent connected* region initiative, and in particular, for advancing regional rail connectivity through a stages extension of passenger rail from Rosewood to Withcott.

ACTION

This matter to be discussed at a future councillor briefing session.

Deputy Mayor Nicole Jonic left the meeting at 4.11 pm.

- Feedback on funding request for Redbank Plains Road and Sinnathamby Bridge.
- North Ipswich Reserve.

5.2. UPDATE ON THE NORMAN STREET BRIDGE

ACTION

Chief Executive Officer to advise next steps at a future meeting.

PROCEDURAL MOTIONS AND FORMAL MATTERS

The meeting commenced at 2.30 pm.

The meeting closed at 4.20 pm.

Doc ID No: A12643205

ITEM: 2
SUBJECT: IPSWICH LEADERS FORUM
AUTHOR: ADVOCACY LEAD
DATE: 16 FEBRUARY 2026

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is a report concerning the planning for the Ipswich Leaders Forum in late 2026.

RECOMMENDATION/S

- A. That the Advocacy Advisory Committee receive and note the progression of the Ipswich Leaders Forum planning.**
- B. That the Advocacy Advisory Committee provide input on the preferred date, guest list and facilitator.**

RELATED PARTIES

There were no conflicts of interest

IFUTURE THEME

Vibrant and Growing

PURPOSE OF REPORT/BACKGROUND

The concept of an Ipswich Leaders Forum was presented as part of the new Advocacy Plan at the Advocacy Advisory Committee No. 2025(03) dated 15 October 2025.

It is proposed the Leaders Forum will involve bringing elected representatives from all three levels of government together to discuss Ipswich advocacy priorities, their status and current advocacy requests of different levels of government.

It is proposed the Forum will consist of a two hour discussion on the city's advocacy priorities focused on council's identified Regionally Significant Projects and led and managed by an experienced facilitator.

It is proposed that the public facing advocacy document *Growing Ipswich: Key Priorities for Infrastructure Investment* will be released to coincide with the Forum. Other potential media opportunities will also be explored closer to the event.

Confidential attachment 1 – Ipswich Leaders Forum Proposed Guest List and Potential Dates seek the Advocacy Advisory Committees input on the guest list and potential dates for the Forum.

Confidential attachment 2 – Ipswich Leaders Forum Potential Facilitators seeks the Advocacy Advisory Committees input on a proposed facilitator/s for the Forum. Noting at this stage none of the facilitators have been approached to gauge their fees, interest or availability.

If agreed by the Advocacy Advisory Committee a ‘Save the Date’ invitation will be sent to the approved guest list following the Advocacy Advisory Committee meeting and the selected facilitator/s will be approached for engagement.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

This report and its recommendations are consistent with the following legislative provisions:
Local Government Act 2009

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The Ipswich Leaders Forum will focus on the council’s advocacy Regionally Significant Projects which are identified through the Advocacy for Significant Projects Policy.

The Ipswich Leaders Forum is also contained in the calendar of events in Council’s Advocacy Plan 2025.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

All advocacy activities come with risks including loss of credibility or reputation, public criticism, damage to relationships with supporters and decision-makers, and even losing access to funding or resources. There is also the risk of creating unrealistic expectations among beneficiaries or partners.

However, if executed properly advocacy leads to better funding and strategic investment in projects, resulting in improved safety, increased economic opportunities, and enhanced quality of life for communities.

Ensuring attendance from all three levels of government is a risk associated with hosting the Ipswich Leaders Forum. The Mayor and Councillors existing relationships with elected officials from other levels of government should be utilised to guarantee attendance.

The benefits of hosting a forum with all three levels of government in attendance promoting the City of Ipswich and its Regionally Significant Projects outweighs the risk and shows a positive agenda and ambition for the city with all three levels of government working together.

FINANCIAL/RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

The planning and delivery of the Ipswich Leaders Forum is covered within the existing advocacy budget.

COMMUNITY AND OTHER CONSULTATION

The Mayor and Councillors were consulted on the Ipswich Leaders Forum concept as part of the Advocacy Advisory Committee No. 2025(03) dated 15 October 2025.

CONCLUSION

Input is sought from the Advocacy Advisory Committee on the preferred date, guest list and facilitator for the Ipswich Leaders Forum in late 2026 so planning for the event can proceed.

HUMAN RIGHTS IMPLICATIONS

HUMAN RIGHTS IMPACTS	
OTHER DECISION	
(a) What is the Act/Decision being made?	That the Advisory Committee receive and note the progression of the Ipswich Leaders Forum planning. That the Advisory Committee provide input on the preferred date, guest list and facilitator.
(b) What human rights are affected?	None
(c) How are the human rights limited?	N/A
(d) Is there a good reason for limiting the relevant rights? Is the limitation fair and reasonable?	N/A
(e) Conclusion	The decision is consistent with human rights.

CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENTS

1.	Ipswich Leaders Forum Proposed Guest List and Potential Dates
2.	Ipswich Leaders Forum Potential Facilitators

Kate Adams
ADVOCACY LEAD

I concur with the recommendations contained in this report.

Dan Heenan
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

I concur with the recommendations contained in this report.

Ben Pole
GENERAL MANAGER (COMMUNITY, CULTURAL AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT)

“Together, we proudly enhance the quality of life for our community”

Doc ID No: A12558990

ITEM: 3
SUBJECT: GROWING IPSWICH: KEY PRIORITIES FOR INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT
AUTHOR: SENIOR PROJECT OFFICER - MAJOR PROJECTS
DATE: 16 FEBRUARY 2026

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is a report concerning the development of a public facing advocacy document *Growing Ipswich: Key Priorities for Infrastructure Investment* proposed to be launched at the Ipswich Leaders Forum. It includes the format and structure for what the document will include as well as a recommendation for supplementary engagement with community to understand how they prioritise council's key advocacy priorities.

RECOMMENDATION/S

- A. That the Advocacy Advisory Committee receive and note the development of the *Growing Ipswich: Key Priorities for Infrastructure Investment* to be released at the Ipswich Leaders Forum.**
- B. That the Advocacy Advisory Committee receive and note that community engagement will be conducted through the existing Living in Place annual survey to identify how the community ranks council advocacy priorities.**

RELATED PARTIES

There was no declaration of conflicts of interest.

IFUTURE THEME

A Trusted and Leading Organisation

PURPOSE OF REPORT/BACKGROUND

The inaugural Ipswich Leaders Forum scheduled for late October will be a structured meeting with Councillors, State and Federal MPs followed by a media conference. It would include a discussion on the city's advocacy priorities focused on the Regionally Significant Projects.

As part of the Forum, the new public facing advocacy document titled *Growing Ipswich: Key Priorities for Infrastructure Investment* will be launched. It is intended to serve as a central platform for articulating council's strategic infrastructure priorities to all levels of government, industry partners, and the broader community. It will support a unified advocacy approach and strengthen council's position when seeking external investment to meet the city's current and future growth needs.

Ipswich continues to experience rapid population growth, placing increased pressure on transport networks, social infrastructure, green spaces, and essential community services. With this in mind, clear and proactive advocacy is essential to ensure that Ipswich attracts the infrastructure funding needed to support liveability, economic development, and sustainable long-term planning. The proposed advocacy document will consolidate council's key priorities, focussing specifically on the Regionally Significant Projects into a concise, visually engaging, and evidence-based format that can be readily used across a range of engagement and lobbying activities.

At a high level, the report structure will include the following core sections:

- Statistics about the city, growth, housing, and liveability
- Mayors and CEOs message
- Strategic context (map)
- Our growth story
- Current list of projects with funding requests
- Future state of Ipswich, Growing Ipswich – what could be realised
- Our community snapshot
- Our priority asks aligned to council themes / strategic drivers

City of Moreton Bay's Advocacy Plan City of Tomorrow and Melton City Council's [Vic] Advocacy webpage demonstrates how clear priorities and coordinated messaging can elevate a city's influence with government and industry. These models have informed Ipswich's direction, ensuring *Growing Ipswich: Key Priorities for Infrastructure Investment* reflects contemporary standards in strategic advocacy and positions the city to secure the investment needed for its rapid growth trajectory. However, while the Moreton Bay advocacy document goes to some lengths to explain their advocacy strategy the Ipswich document would be a more concise document focussing on councils Regionally Significant Projects and their importance to Ipswich.

It has been identified that council's existing Living in Place survey is best placed to gauge community priorities and ensure the advocacy narrative reflects shared goals and delivers a stronger, unified messaging to government stakeholders.

The completed *Growing Ipswich* document is proposed to be launched at the upcoming Ipswich Leaders Forum, providing an opportunity to showcase the city's key infrastructure needs to key government representatives, regional leaders and partners. This timing will enable council to leverage a high-profile platform to build awareness, momentum, and support for its advocacy priorities, hopefully garnering a level of commitment from our partners.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

This report and its recommendations are consistent with the following legislative provisions:
Local Government Act 2009

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The report relates directly to the Regionally Significant Projects which have been identified through the Advocacy for Significant Projects Policy.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

There is a risk that without community engagement, the advocacy priority asks are perceived as internally driven rather than community-led, weakening the credibility of the final document. Further to this, government stakeholders increasingly expect councils to demonstrate evidence-based advocacy, meaning the absence of community input could undermine the strength and impact of council's case to other levels of government.

FINANCIAL/RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

The *Growing Ipswich* document and associated engagement through the Living in Ipswich survey are funded through existing budget allocations.

COMMUNITY AND OTHER CONSULTATION

To strengthen the credibility and community alignment of the advocacy narrative, the report recommends undertaking supplementary engagement with community through council's pre-existing Living in Place survey. The questions will help to identify how residents perceive and prioritise council's advocacy agenda, ensuring that final document reflects shared priorities and supports stronger, combined messaging to government stakeholders.

The annual Living in Place survey, conducted since 2021, seeks to understand values, experiences and liveability from the community. A series of new targeted questions asks what issues the community most wants council to champion:

1. **Below are priority projects for Council. Which top three (or five?) are most important to you? Choose up to 3 (or 5)**
 - (a) Amberley Interchange upgrade on the Cunningham Highway
 - (b) Better Bus Network for Ipswich
 - (c) Centenary Motorway duplication
 - (d) Ebenezer Intermodal Terminal delivery for Inland Rail
 - (e) Ebenezer Regional Industrial Area delivery of catalytic trunk infrastructure
 - (f) Ipswich Central Second River Crossing
 - (g) Ipswich to Springfield Central Public Transport Corridor
 - (h) Legacy opportunities presented by the Brisbane 2032 Olympic and Paralympic Games
 - (i) North Ipswich Sport and Entertainment Precinct
 - (j) Swanbank and New Chum industrial areas odour issues
 - (k) Warrego Highway - Mount Crosby Road Interchange

2. **When thinking about the legacy opportunities presented by the Brisbane 2032 Olympic and Paralympic Games, which three do you think have the greatest opportunities for the City of Ipswich as a co-host city?** More information about these opportunities can be found [here](#). *Choose up to 3*
 - (a) Hosting an Olympic event at Brighton Homes Arena
 - (b) Hosting international training camps in the lead up to and during the Games
 - (c) New synthetic athletics track
 - (d) North Ipswich Sport and Entertainment Precinct - completion of the boutique stadium
 - (e) Sport hub and indoor sports complex
3. **Are there any other major infrastructure projects in the Ipswich region you think should receive State and/or Federal Government investment?** [Open response]
4. **Rank Council’s advocacy on behalf of the community with other levels of government and private organisations on key issues.** Note this question is asked to support the delivery of Councils corporate plan as a key measure for the following outcome – Major Federal and State Government funding supports city-shaping opportunities, including major infrastructure, policy, reform and essential services.

The Living in Place survey [+1,000 respondents] is expected to be shared with community between 30 March – 24 April with a report expected to be available early June. These timings will complement the development of the document. There are no additional costs to including these questions as part of councils’ annual service subscription.

CONCLUSION

That the Advocacy Advisory Committee note the development of the *Growing Ipswich: Key Priorities for Infrastructure Investment* as part of the Ipswich Leaders Forum and further note the inclusion of community engagement through the Living in Place annual survey to understand how residents prioritise council’s advocacy agenda.

HUMAN RIGHTS IMPLICATIONS

HUMAN RIGHTS IMPACTS
RECEIVE AND NOTE REPORT
Recommendation A and B states that the report be received and the contents noted. The decision to receive and note the report does not limit human rights. Therefore, the decision is compatible with human rights.

Sibel Gradinscak

SENIOR PROJECT OFFICER - MAJOR PROJECTS

I concur with the recommendations contained in this report.

Dan Heenan

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

I concur with the recommendations contained in this report.

Ben Pole

GENERAL MANAGER (COMMUNITY, CULTURAL AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT)

“Together, we proudly enhance the quality of life for our community”

Doc ID No: A12559232

ITEM: 4
SUBJECT: TEAM ATTRACTION BROCHURE UPDATE
AUTHOR: ADVOCACY LEAD
DATE: 16 FEBRUARY 2026

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is a report concerning an update on the stakeholder engagement since the Team Attraction Brochure was released in December 2025. The report also includes Training Camp Investment Guidelines and proposed venue additions for a new version of the Team Attraction Brochure.

RECOMMENDATION/S

- A. That the Advisory Committee receive and note the stakeholder engagement on the Team Attraction Brochure.**
- B. That the Advisory Committee receive and note the Training Camp Investment Guidelines and the proposed venue additions to a new version of the Team Attraction Brochure.**

RELATED PARTIES

There was no declaration of conflicts of interest

THEME

Vibrant and Growing

PURPOSE OF REPORT/BACKGROUND

The Team Attraction Brochure for Olympic and Paralympic Games training was received and noted at the Economic and Cultural Development Committee No. 2025(11) dated 2 December 2025 and approved at the Council Meeting No. 2025 (13) dated 11 December 2025. The Brochure was subsequently publicly released on 17 December 2025.

Stakeholder engagement

Since its public release the Team Attraction Brochure has also been directly sent to the attached list of stakeholders – Confidential Attachment 1 – Team Attraction Brochure stakeholder mailout list.

Feedback provided via Minister Tim Mander explained:

“The Department of Sport, Racing and Olympic and Paralympic Games (DSROPG) is developing a Pre-Games Training Guide detailing training facilities throughout Queensland as reference material for National Olympic Committees (NOCs) and National Paralympic Committees (NPCs). DSROPG is also looking to implement a range of measures to support NOCs and NPCs with their enquiries, connect them with councils across Queensland, and help councils promote their facilities, services and wider offerings to international teams.”

As a Brisbane 2032 co-host city Council officers continue to attend regular City Readiness and City integration Workshops held by DSROPG.

Also, on 2nd March, council officers facilitated a site inspection of Brighton Homes Arena with representatives from the Brisbane 2032 Organising Committee. As part of this visit a briefing was also provided on the progress of the new Western Grandstand at the North Ipswich Sport and Entertainment Precinct. This was an important stakeholder engagement and relationship building exercise ahead of the Organising Committee finalising the sports program for the 2032 Games later this year.

The Brisbane 2032 Organising Committee also relayed they will be able to assist with introductions to NOCs and NPCs as they will be assisting DSROPG with the Pre-Games Training Guide.

The Australian Olympic Committee also provided feedback that they would be able to facilitate introductions with NOCs globally too.

Training Camp Investment Guidelines

As part of the stakeholder consultation exercise Council has partnered with Sports Marketing Australia (SMA) to present international and high-performance training camps to Council for consideration.

SMA have partnerships with numerous councils across Queensland to bring events and training camps to preferred destinations. Multiple opportunities have been presented by SMA since partnering with them in February 2026.

This has prompted the need to develop the Confidential Attachment 2 - Training Camp Investment Guidelines. The guidelines provide a clear, consistent framework for assessing and prioritising training camps seeking investment from Council. A live example has also been assessed through the scoring matrix in attachment 2.

The City of Gold Coast provides support and incentives for hosting elite training camps, exhibition games and conferences. The Sunshine Coast Council currently does not have a team attraction incentive budget or guidelines for investment but is looking to develop both in the coming financial year.

Updated Team Attraction Brochure

Since the finalisation of the Team Attraction Brochure new venues have been identified to add to the brochure, as outlined in Confidential Attachment 3 – Team Attraction Brochure version 2 additions.

The Team Attraction Brochure is considered a live document which will be continually updated in the lead up to the Brisbane 2032 Olympic and Paralympic Games as new sports venues, gyms, rehab and recovery centres and accommodation offerings come online in Ipswich.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

This report and its recommendations are consistent with the following legislative provisions:
Local Government Act 2009

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The Team Attraction Brochure is identified as a priority under the Regionally Significant Project – Brisbane 2032 Olympic and Paralympic Games opportunities. Regionally Significant Projects are identified through the Advocacy for Significant Projects Policy.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

There is risk that without a clear training proposition the city may miss out on attracting teams to train and stay here in the lead up to the Brisbane 2032 Olympic and Paralympic Games.

FINANCIAL/RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

The 2025-26 Team Attraction activities are funded through existing budget allocations.

COMMUNITY AND OTHER CONSULTATION

The stakeholders engaged with on the Team Attraction Brochure and provided in Confidential Attachment 1.

Feedback on the Team Attraction Brochure was received from The Department of Sport, Racing and Olympic and Paralympic Games (DSROPG), the Brisbane 2032 Organising Committee and the Australian Olympic Committee (AOC).

The Events and Sponsorship Attraction Team were consulted through the development of Training Camp Investment Guidelines.

Input was sought from City of Gold Coast and Sunshine Coast Council for the Training Camp Investment Guidelines.

Proposed additions to the Team Attraction Brochure were provided by the Mayor, Councillors and Council officers. A direct request to Council for inclusion was also made by Ipswich Turf Club – Confidential Attachment 4 – Ipswich Turf Club.

CONCLUSION

The update on the stakeholder consultation to date on the Team Attraction Brochure, including the development of the Training Camp Investment Guidelines and the proposed additions to a new version of the brochure are to be noted and reviewed by the Advisory Committee.

HUMAN RIGHTS IMPLICATIONS

HUMAN RIGHTS IMPACTS
RECEIVE AND NOTE REPORT
Recommendation A and B states that the report be received and the contents noted. The decision to receive and note the report does not limit human rights. Therefore, the decision is compatible with human rights.

CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENTS

1.	Team Attraction Brochure stakeholder mailout list
2.	Training Camp Investment Guidelines
3.	Team Attraction Brochure version 2 additions
4.	Ipswich Turf Club

Kate Adams
ADVOCACY LEAD

I concur with the recommendations contained in this report.

Dan Heenan
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

I concur with the recommendations contained in this report.

Ben Pole
GENERAL MANAGER (COMMUNITY, CULTURAL AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT)

“Together, we proudly enhance the quality of life for our community”

Doc ID No: A12671321

ITEM: 5
SUBJECT: ELECTORAL COMMISSION OF QUEENSLAND PROPOSED REDISTRIBUTION
AUTHOR: ADVOCACY LEAD
DATE: 11 MARCH 2026

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is a report concerning the Queensland Redistribution Commission's (QRC) proposed redistribution of Queensland state electoral districts.

RECOMMENDATION/S

That the Advisory Committee note the QRC's proposed redistribution of the state electoral districts and the opportunity to provide objections to the proposals.

RELATED PARTIES

There was no declarations of conflicts of interest

IFUTURE THEME

Vibrant and Growing

PURPOSE OF REPORT/BACKGROUND

In April 2025, the Queensland Redistribution Commission (QRC) was constituted under the Electoral Act 1992 to undertake an electoral redistribution of the State into 93 electoral districts. The redistribution was required by law because 7½ years had passed since the last electoral redistribution became final in June 2017.

The QRC commissioners include:

Mr Gregory Koppenol (a former judge of the District Court of Queensland who had been a judge for at least 3 years) as chairperson;

Mr John Sosso, Director-General of the Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning (the nonjudicial appointee); and

Mr Pat Vidgen, PSM, Electoral Commissioner of Queensland.

On the 11 March the Queensland Redistribution Commission released its proposed electoral redistribution of the State's electoral districts.

An analysis of the 93 electorates' on 22 September 2025 and projected June 2032 enrolments revealed that: 17 electorates were >10% above the current quota (C) and/or the projected average (P)—namely:

- Buderim: C: 42,780 (+6.45%), P: 50,640 (+13.34%)
- **Bundamba: C: 47,057 (+17.09%), P: 57,644 (+29.02%)**
- Caloundra: C: 47,391 (+17.92%), P: 64,892 (+45.24%)
- Coomera: C: 55,296 (+37.59%), P: 71,013 (+58.94%)
- Glass House: C: 39,829 (-0.89%), P: 53,613 (+20.00%)
- Gympie: C: 45,461 (+13.12%), P: 48,938 (+9.53%)
- Hervey Bay: C: 45,575 (+13.40%), P: 48,342 (+8.20%)
- **Ipswich: C: 40,853 (+1.65%), P: 52,908 (+18.42%)**
- **Ipswich West: C: 40,416 (+0.57%), P: 49,817 (+11.50%)**
- **Jordan: C: 48,721 (+21.23%), P: 65,796 (+47.26%)**
- Kurwongbah: C: 42,662 (+6.16%), P: 50,224 (+12.41%)
- Logan: C: 52,004 (+29.40%), P: 67,444 (+50.95%)
- McConnel: C: 42,427 (+5.57%), P: 53,664 (+20.11%)
- Morayfield: C: 43,825 (+9.05%), P: 54,119 (+21.13%)
- Murrumba: C: 47,586 (+18.41%), P: 56,550 (+26.57%)
- Redcliffe: C: 42,565 (+5.91%), P: 50,004 (+11.92%)
- South Brisbane: C: 41,746 (+3.88%), P: 52,790 (+18.15%)

Moderate to significant changes are proposed to be made to 48 electorates including: Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim), Greenbank (formerly Jordan), Ipswich, Ipswich West, Moggill, Redbank (formerly Bundamba),

Two new electorates are proposed to be created—namely, Caboolture (formerly Glass House) and Springfield (formerly Jordan).

Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)

The new proposed electorate of Beaudesert does not include any suburbs within the Ipswich local government area. See the map of the proposed electorate attachment 1. Beaudesert.

Greenbank (formerly Jordan)

The new proposed Greenbank electorate includes the additional suburbs of Spring Mountain and South Ripley and numerous suburbs in the former Logan electorate. It excludes all other suburbs in Springfield which were previously included. See the map of the proposed electorate attachment 2. Greenbank.

Ipswich

The new proposed Ipswich electorate includes the additional suburbs of Bundamba, Riverview and Ebbw Vale and excludes the suburbs of Ripley, Deebing Heights and South Ripley which were previously included. See the map of the proposed electorate attachment 3. Ipswich.

Ipswich West

The new proposed Ipswich West electorate includes the additional suburbs of Mount Mort, Grandchester, Lower Mount Mort, Ebenezer, part of Deebing Heights, Mount Forbes, Willowbank, Purga and Goolman. It excludes the suburbs of Chuwar and Karalee which were previously included. See the map of the proposed electorate attachment 4. Ipswich West.

Moggill

The new proposed Moggill electorate includes the additional suburbs of Chuwar, Karalee and Barellan Point. See the map of the proposed electorate attachment 5. Moggill.

Redbank (formerly Bundamba)

The new proposed Redbank electorate includes the additional suburb of Ripley and excludes the suburbs of Bundamba, Riverview, Blackstone, Ebbw Vale and Spring Mountain which were previously included. See the map of the proposed electorate attachment 6. Redbank.

Springfield

The proposed new electorate of Springfield includes the suburbs of Bellbird Park, Carole Park, Gales, Camira, Springfield, Augustine Heights, Brookwater, Springfield Central, Springfield Lakes and Spring Mountain. See the map of the proposed electorate attachment 7. Springfield.

Objections against the proposed redistributions are currently open until 9th April 2026 and can be lodged via an online form at

<https://submission.redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au/s/objections>

The QRC is expected to finalise the redistribution by June 2026.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

This report and its recommendations are consistent with the following legislative provisions:
Local Government Act 2009

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

There are no policy implications.

RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

There are no risks associated with this report, the information is for noting only.

FINANCIAL/RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

There is no financial implication for the City of Ipswich.

COMMUNITY AND OTHER CONSULTATION

There was no consultation required for this report.








CONCLUSION

The Advisory Committee is to note the proposed State electorate boundary changes and the opportunity to object.

HUMAN RIGHTS IMPLICATIONS

HUMAN RIGHTS IMPACTS
RECEIVE AND NOTE REPORT
The Recommendation That the Advisory Committee note the QRC’s proposed redistribution of the state electoral districts and the opportunity to provide objections to the proposals. states that the report be received and the contents noted. The decision to receive and note the report does not limit human rights. Therefore, the decision is compatible with human rights.

ATTACHMENTS

1.	Proposed Beaudesert Electoral District Map ↓ 
2.	Proposed Greenbank Electoral District Map ↓ 
3.	Proposed Ipswich Electoral District Map ↓ 
4.	Proposed Ipswich-West Electoral District Map ↓ 
5.	Proposed Moggill Electoral District Map ↓ 
6.	Proposed Redbank Electoral District Map ↓ 
7.	Proposed Springfield Electoral District Map ↓ 

Kate Adams
ADVOCACY LEAD

I concur with the recommendations contained in this report.

Dan Heenan
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

I concur with the recommendations contained in this report.

Ben Pole
GENERAL MANAGER (COMMUNITY, CULTURAL AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT)

“Together, we proudly enhance the quality of life for our community”

